

**Audits of Body Worn Camera Footage
Pursuant to City Code 2.10.200
November 2023**

SUMMARY

This memorandum constitutes a random audit, pursuant to City Code 2.10.200.E, of body worn camera recordings for the month of November 2023. The ordinance requires that any findings of material non-compliance with state law, City Code and Police Department policy to be referred to the Chief of Police, the Mayor, the Council Chair, the Mayor's Chief of Staff, and the City Attorney.

The system used by the Department, at the time this audit was conducted, cannot randomly generate a body worn camera recording based on a particular timeframe. Because of that limitation, a random number generator was used to identify 5 case numbers (out of 4,845 case numbers) from the month. If a case number had multiple recordings for that case number, a recording was randomly selected for review.

Of the five matters that were reviewed, the audit found that officers appeared to materially comply with City Code, State law, and Department policies.

BODY WORN CAMERA REVIEWS

Case No. 1

Summary

Officer arrives on scene and meets other officers who are speaking to a woman in a house. The woman called 911 because her son drank an entire bottle of whiskey with his medication to treat schizophrenia and he was stumbling and falling down. Medical arrive and check the son's vital signs. Medical confirmed that his vitals were normal and the mother and son declined transport to a hospital. Officers and medical personnel leave.

Findings

The officers appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 2

Summary

Officer arrives at the emergency bay at Primary Children's Hospital and meets a medical team transporting a juvenile to the emergency room. The juvenile was handcuffed to the gurney because his mother had called to report that he assaulted his stepfather. Medical staff confirm that handcuffs won't be needed in the emergency room and the officer removes the handcuffs. Officer stands by while medical staff conduct an evaluation. After a period of time, the officer confirms with medical staff that she is not needed and the officer departs the emergency room.

Findings

The officer appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 3

Summary

Officer informs a person that officers will be taking another person to jail for outstanding warrants. Call concludes.

Finding

The officer appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 4

Summary

Officers stop a person who littered in front of them. Officers obtain name and DOB and run a warrant check on the person. Officers issue a citation for littering to the person and inform her that she has two warrants that she needs to address. Officers conclude the call.

Finding

The officers appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 5

Summary

Officers respond to a person who wants to report that he heard shots fired in his neighborhood. Officers search the area for suspects and shell casings but do not find either. Officers conclude the call.

Findings

The officers appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

CONCLUSION

Of the five matters that were reviewed, the audit found that officers appeared to materially comply with City Code, State law, and Department policies.