

**Audits of Body Worn Camera Footage
Pursuant to City Code 2.10.200
October 2023**

SUMMARY

This memorandum constitutes a random audit, pursuant to City Code 2.10.200.E, of body worn camera recordings for the month of October 2023. The ordinance requires that any findings of material non-compliance with state law, City Code and Police Department policy to be referred to the Chief of Police, the Mayor, the Council Chair, the Mayor's Chief of Staff, and the City Attorney.

The system used by the Department, at the time this audit was conducted, cannot randomly generate a body worn camera recording based on a particular timeframe. Because of that limitation, a random number generator was used to identify 5 case numbers (out of 4,875 case numbers) from the month. If a case number had multiple recordings for that case number, a recording was randomly selected for review.

Of the five matters that were reviewed, the audit found that officers appeared to materially comply with City Code, State law, and Department policies.

BODY WORN CAMERA REVIEWS

Case No. 1

Summary

Officer is investigating a vehicle theft from a neighborhood and he asks a neighbor if his security cameras picked up the theft. The neighbor checks his video footage and says that it did not capture the theft. Officer concludes the call.

Findings

The officer appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 2

Summary

Officer arrives to a home where a several officers are present. There are also many people detained in the living room. Officers appear to be conducting a search of the home. The officer assists by conducting a brief search through the home and concludes the call when he completes the search.

Findings

The officer appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 3

Summary

Officer arrives on a scene where another officer has detained a person on suspicion of stealing items from a convenience store. Officers place him into a patrol vehicle and find the items that were taken from the store. Officers take the person and the items to the convenience store and speak to staff. Staff inform officers that they do not want to press charges, but they want the person trespassing from their store. Officers release the person without citation and trespass him from the store.

Finding

The officers appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 4

Summary

Airport officer assists a traveler with filing a police report regarding stolen luggage so that the traveler can file a claim with the airline.

Finding

The officer appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

Case No. 5

Summary

Officer responds to an RV Park and meets a couple who want to report two adult tricycles have been stolen. The officer takes the report and provides the couple with a case number.

Findings

The officer appeared to comply with State and City Codes and Police Department policy.

CONCLUSION

Of the five matters that were reviewed, the audit found that officers appeared to materially comply with City Code, State law, and Department policies.